PRIONOPSIS (ASTERACEAE: ASTEREAE) UNITED WITH GRINDELIA

Guy L. Nesom¹, Youngbae Suh², & Beryl B. Simpson¹

¹Department of Botany, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78713 U.S.A.

²Natural Products Research Institute, Seoul National University, Jongro-Ku, Yeongun-Dong, Seoul, 110-460, KOREA

ABSTRACT

The monotypic genus *Prionopsis* is distinguished from *Grindelia* only by a difference in the pappus. Studies of morphological variation show that the two taxa are otherwise identical even in micromorphological features, and recent studies of restriction site variation in chloroplast DNA further suggest *Grindelia* without *Prionopsis* is paraphyletic. The proposal is made to enlarge *Grindelia* by including *Prionopsis* as Grindelia papposa Nesom & Suh, nom. nov.

KEY WORDS: Prionopsis, Grindelia, Astereae, Asteraceae

Prionopsis ciliata (Nutt.) Nutt. is a species endemic to the south-central United States. Nuttall originally described it as a member of Donia R. Brown (a synonym of Grindelia Willd.) but later segregated the species as the monotypic genus Prionopsis Nutt. De Candolle regarded the species as a member of Haplopappus DC., and Hall maintained it there nearly a century later as the monotypic Haplopappus sect. Prionopsis (Nutt.) H.M. Hall (see citations below for references).

Several recent floristic treatments of the southeastern to midwestern and western United States (Cronquist 1980; Martin & Hutchins 1981; Barkley 1986) have without comment treated *Prionopsis ciliata* as a member of *Haplopappus*. Haplopappus in North America, however, is now dismantled and apportioned among genera of several major clades of Astereae (Nesom et al. 1990; see Nesom & Morgan 1990 for a summary). In contrast, *Prionopsis* has been maintained as a separate genus by Johnston (1970, p. 1572), who noted that "it is closely related to *Grindelia* to which genus it probably should be

referred." Another Texas botanist had difficulty in distinguishing the two genera, as a photographic guide (Warnock 1974) pictured a plant of *Prionopsis* but identified it as G. squarrosa (Pursh) Dunal.

Hall (1928, p. 27) observed that Grindelia, "because of its much narrowed and deciduous pappus awus, closely resembles section Prionopsis," which he regarded as "doubtfully placed in Haplopappus." Steyermark (1937, p. 250) did not find the pappus of Prionopsis to be deciduous and rejected a hypothesis of such close relationship between Prionopsis and Grindelia, although he commented that there was perhaps a "real relationship" between the two in the "reduced number of pappus bristles compared with most of the other sections [of Haplopappus]." His comments on the phylogenetic relationships of Grindelia, however, were ambiguous, because in the same discussion he concluded that the genus was a member of the tribe Heliantheae, where it represented a "connecting link" to the tribe Astereae. Steyermark's phylogenetic diagram showed Prionopsis most closely related to Xanthisma DC., but features of the latter do not place it in such a close relationship.

Phylogenetic position of Prionopsis and Grindelia

Prionopsis and Grindelia are integral members of the "Xanthocephalum group," which also includes the genera Xanthocephalum Willd., Isocoma Nutt., Stephanodoria E. Greene, and Olivaea Schultz-Bip. ex Benth., and the "phyllocephalus group" of Haplopappus DC. The plants of these generic-level taxa are characterized by a suite of features that we believe to be homologous and indicative of membership in a monophyletic lineage: yellow ray flowers (if present), disc corollas with the throat abruptly ampliate above the tube, deltate to ovate-lanceolate disc style appendages, and a base chromosome number of x=6. For the most part, these taxa have been previously hypothesized to be closely related among themselves (Jackson 1966; Jackson & Dimas 1981; Lane 1983; Lane & Hartman 1985; Hartman 1990). In a broader context, the Xanthocephalum group is part of the Haplopappus - Machaeranthera phylad, essentially as delimited by Morgan & Simpson (1992).

Prionopsis and Grindelia

Plants of *Prionopsis* are taprooted annuals with clasping, serrate-spinulose leaves, a glabrous but punctate-resinous herbage, linear-lanceolate phyllaries with spreading apices, ray flowers with yellow, weakly coiling ligules, dimorphic achenes, and pappus bristles that tend to be basally caducous. In this set of features, *Prionopsis* is morphologically indistinguishable from *Grindelia*.

The cells in the throat region of the disc corollas of Prionopsis and all species of Grindelia, including South American and even the most specialized

North American ones, produce relatively long, straight-sided crystals easily seen in whole mounts of corollas. These crystals are flat, mostly straight at the ends, and they lie at various angles within the cells, where they are mostly about as long as the cell diameter but commonly mixed with fewer, similar but smaller ones. Among the remaining members of the Haplopappus - Machaeranthera lineage, similar crystals (although smaller and less densely arranged) have been found only in Xylorhiza Nutt. and some species of Hazardia E. Greene. Among other Astereae, such crystals occur only in the goldenaster lineage, where they are diagnostic (Nesom 1991 and an unpublished survey of the tribe). These large, distinctive corolla crystals are a specialization that distinguishes Grindelia and Prionopsis from their closest relative, Olivaea, as well as all other taxa of the Xanthocephalum group.

Grindelia, Prionopsis, and also Xanthocephalum have a tendency to produce turgid, four-angled achenes with thickened walls, a morphology not seen elsewhere in the Xanthocephalum group. As observed by Nesom (1990, 1992), however, the species of at least one group of Grindelia produce dimorphic achenes, the outer turgid and four-angled, the inner strongly compressed, with numerous, thin nerves on each of the two faces. The same dimorphism also occurs in Prionopsis.

Howe (1975) reported that antipodal cells of the female gametophyte of *Prionopsis* and three species of *Grindelia* produce haustorium-like outgrowths. Although such outgrowths apparently are uncommon, they are known from various other genera of North American Astereae, but comparative data from other taxa of the *Xanthocephalum* group are not available.

Prionopsis ciliata differs from species of Grindelia primarily in its pappus of numerous awn-like bristles connate in a ring at their base. The bristles tend to be persistent, but a basal abscission layer apparently forms on fully mature achenes, where they commonly loosen and detach basally as a unit, or more rarely, in basally united groups. The pappus of Grindelia achenes is composed of individual, awn-like bristles similar to those of Prionopsis, but they are usually fewer in number, not basally united, and easily caducous, breaking off at the slightest touch. North American species of Grindelia produce 2-8 pappus bristles per achene but various South American species usually produce more. Grindelia buphthalmoides DC., in particular, typically produces 8-12 bristles per achene, but achenes of some individuals may produce 15 or more bristles (Cabrera 1932). Such a pappus is very similar to that of Prionopsis, although the bristles are not basally united.

With its relatively large number of bristles, the pappus of *Prionopsis* at first consideration might appear to be primitive, but it may instead be an atavistic feature, retained in the evolutionary origin of the species, perhaps analogous to the pappus appearing sporadically in some plants of the annual species *Xanthocephalum gymnospermoides* (A. Gray) Benth. The pappus in other species of *Xanthocephalum*, including the remaining annual species (the

closest relatives of X. gymnospermoides) is mostly absent or represented by a low corona, sometimes with an erose or toothed margin. The pappus of typical X. gymnospermoides is similar, but in scattered populations from Chihuahua (e.g., Lane 2494 [TEX]; Valdes R. 18 [LL]), a ring of 15 to 20 persistent pappus bristles 0.8 to 1.4 mm high rarely occurs. As interpreted here, such a pappus probably represents the vestigial occurrence of the primitive type for the whole Xanthocephalum group, such as found in Isocoma and the phylogenetic precursors to the Xanthocephalum group (Nesom, Suh, & Simpson in prep.). The hypothesis that the pappus of Prionopsis is specialized is strengthened by the recognition of Olivaea as the sister group to Grindelia and Prionopsis, since the pappus of Olivaea comprises a few, separate, basally caducous bristles nearly identical to those of Grindelia.

Wide variation in pappus morphology (presence and absence, variability in the degree of elaboration) is common in many genera throughout various tribes of Asteraceae. Within American Astereae, striking examples can be found within Townsendia Hook., Erigeron L., Chaetopappa DC., and others. Continued recognition of Prionopsis as a genus separate from Grindelia, based solely on a difference in pappus, is inconsistent with accepted generic concepts across the tribe and family.

Prionopsis as a member of Grindelia

Studies in the Xanthocephalum group by Suh (1989) of patterns of variation in chloroplast DNA restriction sites show that Prionopsis is most closely related to species of Grindelia and that Grindelia is paraphyletic without the inclusion of Prionopsis. In addition to Prionopsis, Suh's study has included four species of Grindelia. His data strongly suggest that Prionopsis is the sister group of G. microcephala DC. and G. adenodonta (Steyerm.) Nesom; these three species in turn are the sister group of G. lanceolata Nutt. and G. nuda A. Wood, which form a more weakly supported species pair. Morphological studies (Nesom 1990, 1992) place these four species in a broader taxonomic context.

In view of (1) specialized morphological features shared by *Prionopsis* and *Grindelia*, (2) a weak and inconsistent morphological basis for maintaining *Prionopsis* as a separate genus, and (3) molecular evidence that suggests that *Grindelia* is paraphyletic without *Prionopsis*, the formal proposal is made here to submerge the latter within *Grindelia*, the earlier-named genus (1807 vs. 1841).

Grindelia papposa Nesom & Suh, nom. nov. (not Grindelia ciliata Spreng., 1826). BASIONYM: Donia ciliata Nutt., J. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia

2:118. 1821. Haplopappus ciliatus (Nutt.) DC., Prodr. 5:346. 1836. Prionopsis ciliata (Nutt.) Nutt., Trans. Amer. Philos. Soc., ser. 2. 7:329. 1841. [also the publication of Prionopsis as a genus]. Aster ciliatus (Nutt.) O. Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1:317. 1891.

Grindelia papposa might be placed in a monotypic section, since no other North American species of the genus has a similar pappus. The molecular data of Suh, however, do not support such a hypothetically isolated position for the species. Until the infra-generic taxonomic structure of the entire genus is better understood, it seems superfluous to create a category for the single species, based on what appears to be a single, autapomorphic feature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate the review and comments of Marshall Johnston, Mark Mayfield, and Billie Turner.

LITERATURE CITED

- Barkley, T.M. 1986. Asteraceae, in Great Plains Flora Association, Flora of the Great Plains. Univ. Press of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas.
- Cabrera, A.L. 1932. Revisión de las especies Sudamericanas del género "Grindelia." Revista Mus. La Plata 33:207-249.
- Cronquist, A. 1980. Compositae. Vascular Flora of the Southeastern United States. Univ. North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.
- Hall, H.M. 1928. The Genus Haplopappus. Carnegie Inst. Washington, Publ. No. 389.
- Hartman, R.L. 1990. A conspectus of Machaeranthera (Asteraceae: Astereae). Phytologia 68:439-465.
- Howe, T.D. 1975. The female gametophyte of three species of Grindelia and of Prionopsis ciliata (Compositae). Amer. J. Bot. 62:273-279.
- Jackson, R.C. 1966. Some intersectional hybrids and relationships in Haplopappus. Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull. 46:475-485.
- & C.T. Dimas. 1981. Experimental evidence for systematic placement of the Haplopappus phyllocephalus complex (Compositae). Syst. Bot. 6:8-14.

November 1993

- Johnston, M.C. 1970. Compositae. Pp. 1523-1744, in Correll, D.S. & M.C. Johnston. Manual of the Vascular Plants of Texas. Texas Research Foundation, Renner, Texas.
- Lane, M.A. 1983. Taxonomy of Xanthocephalum (Compositae: Astereae). Syst. Bot. 8:305-316.
- & R.L. Hartman. 1985. Relationships among Astereae (Compositae) genera having x = 6. Amer. J. Bot. 72:162. (abstract).
- Martin, W.C. & C.R. Hutchins. 1981. A Flora of New Mexico. J. Cramer, Vaduz, Liechtenstein.
- Morgan, D.R. & B.B. Simpson. 1992. A systematic study of *Machaeranthera* (Asteraceae) and related groups using restriction site analysis of chloroplast DNA. Syst. Bot. 17:511-531.
- Nesom, G.L. 1990. Studies in the systematics of Texan and Mexican Grindelia (Asteraceae: Astereae). Phytologia 68:303-332.
- Astereae). Phytologia 71:136-151.
- _____. 1992. Species rank for the varieties of Grindelia microcephala (Asteraceae: Astereae). Phytologia 73:326-329.
- ____ & D.R. Morgan. 1990. Reinstatement of *Tonestus* (Asteraceae: Astereae). Phytologia 68:174-180.
- _____, D.R. Morgan, Y. Suh, & B.B. Simpson. 1990. Xylothamia (Asteraceae: Astereae), a new genus related to Euthamia. Sida 14:101-116.
- Steyermark, J. 1937. Studies in Grindelia. III. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 24:225-262.
- Suh, Y. 1989. Phylogenetic studies of North American Astereae (Asteraceae) based on chloroplast DNA. Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. Texas, Austin, Texas.
- Warnock, B.H. 1974. Wildflowers of the Guadalupe Mountains and the Sand Dune Country, Texas. Sul Ross State University, Alpine, Texas.